



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Engro Fertilizers Limited

Report Contents
1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History					
Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
26-Jul-2024	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
27-Jul-2023	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
29-Jul-2022	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
29-Jul-2021	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
29-Jul-2020	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Jul-2019	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Jan-2019	AA	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-
27-Jul-2018	AA	A1+	Stable	Upgrade	-

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

The ratings of Engro Fertilizers Limited (‘EFERT’ or ‘the Company’) incorporate the strong sponsor profile of Engro Corporation (E-Corp) which is one of biggest and renowned conglomerates of Pakistan with strategically diversified portfolio of companies. The strength of the company lies in its product diversity and ability to switch between product streams in line with the market conditions. The ratings assigned to EFERT also take into account low business risk profile of the fertilizer sector owing to non-cyclical nature of the industry, increasing significance of food security amidst developing economic situation across the world and consequent enhancement in the strategic importance of the fertilizer sector for the Country. In 2023, the domestic fertilizer market remained steady, with urea demand at ~6.6MT, driven by improved farm economics and a 40% price discount compared to imports. Urea production rose to 6.4MT, while the domestic DAP industry saw a 34% increase, reaching 1.5MT, due to better agronomics and increased farmer liquidity during the Rabi season. The company has maintained strong operating efficiency at the Daharki and Zarkhez units with high-capacity utilization, surpassing the name plat capacity in FY23. The Company has continuously invested in optimizing its production plants and reaps the benefits of having increased utilization and higher run time of its production facilities. On the other hand, business risk incorporates sensitivity of margins to gas pricing. In addition, the ratings also reflect sound profile of the Company marked by stable growth in revenues, margins and profitability. Assigned rating also incorporates flexible growth strategy, tempered by a conservative financial policy and strong track record of producing premium fertilizer products. Credit challenges include significant exposure to natural gas prices, both as a feed and fuel stock as profitability margins for fertilizers are predominantly determined by the spread between fertilizer prices and natural gas prices. On financial risk profile side, the Company has low leveraged capital structure which is characterized by a combination of short-term financing raised to finance the working capital needs and long-term facilities aimed at expanding overall capacity. Nevertheless, EFERT has established a long track record of prudently managing financial policies to achieve an effective balance between maintaining credit metrics within the assigned rating category.

The ratings would remain dependent upon the Company’s ability to sustain its healthy business profile amidst strong competition, herein, effective and prudent management of financial risk indicators remain important.

Disclosure	
Name of Rated Entity	Engro Fertilizers Limited
Type of Relationship	Solicited
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jul-23),Methodology Rating Modifiers(Apr-24),Methodology Corporate Rating(Jul-23)
Related Research	Sector Study Fertilizer(Jan-24)
Rating Analysts	Usama Ali usama.ali@pacra.com +92-42-35869504

Profile

Legal Structure Engro Fertilizers Limited (“EFert” or “the Company”) is a public listed company, incorporated in 2010.

Background Continual expansions and diversifications in the Company’s enterprises necessitated a broad restructuring in Engro Chemical Pakistan Ltd. which demerged to form a new Engro subsidiary – Engro Fertilizers Limited in the year 2010. The shareholding was gradually reduced from 100% as part of strategic re-alignment to explore new opportunities in other promising sectors involved.

Operations EFert has its registered office located at Marine Drive Block 4, Clifton Karachi. The Company has three production facilities in total, out of which two are Urea plants located in Daharki (Base and Enven Plant) and one NPK plant in Port Qasim, Karachi. The total designed capacity of EFert’s urea plants – base plant: 975,000 MT per annum and Enven: 1,300,000 MT per annum – accounts for ~35% of industry’s demonstrated capacity – second largest capacity. NPK has total capacity of 100,000MT. EFert, a leading name in the country’s urea producers, is primarily in the business of manufacturing and marketing of urea and deals in other fertilizers. The product line of EFert comprises Urea sold under the brand name of Engro Urea, NPK – complex fertilizer – as Zarkhez, Phosphate-based products – DAP and MAP as Engro DAP, and Zorawar respectively, and zinc based fertilizers as Zingro. DAP is imported and sold in the local market. EFert has a strong country wide distribution network. In line with the objective to develop the complex and specialized fertilizer market. In CY23, the Company has produced ~2.3MT (CY22: ~1.95MT) of urea with a utilization level of 100%. EFert has surpassed its nameplate capacity(~2.2MT) for the first time in CY 23.

Ownership

Ownership Structure EFert is majority owned by Engro Corporation (~56%), one of the largest conglomerates in Pakistan. Rest of the ownership lies with general public (~24%), financial institutions (~3%), mutual funds (~2%), insurance companies (~3%) and others (~12%).

Stability The ownership structure of the Company is seen stable in foreseeable future as majority of shareholding vests with the sponsors through Engro Corp.

Business Acumen EFERT’s business acumen is characterized by its strategic foresight, operational efficiency, innovation in product development, and commitment to sustainability and corporate responsibility.

Financial Strength In CY23, at consolidated level, EFert has equity of ~PKR 48 (CY22: ~PKR 45bln). Moreover, the Group is managing an asset base of ~PKR 160bln (CY22: ~PKR 145bln). Through its diverse set of business, it is generating a revenue of ~PKR 223bln (CY22: ~PKR 157bln) and posted PAT of ~PKR 26bln (CY21: ~PKR 16bln).

Governance

Board Structure The control of the Board vests with eight-member Board of Directors. There are four Non-Executive Directors, three Independent Directors and one Executive Director. Majority of the members have long association with the Company.

Members’ Profile The Company’s board is chaired by a seasoned professional Mr. Ahsan Zafar Syed. He is the CEO of the parent company i.e., Engro Corp, with a long association with Engro Group. All members on the BoD have diversified experience and have been associated with the Company’s BoD from a long span of time.

Board Effectiveness The Board has two sub committees to assist in relevant matters, namely – Audit Committee and People Committee. Both committees are chaired by an independent director. Attendance of member in Board meetings during the year remained strong. Minutes of these meetings were well documented.

Financial Transparency A.F Ferguson & Co., Chartered Accountants, classified in category ‘A’ by the SBP and having the satisfactory QCR rating by ICAP, are the external auditors of the Company. The auditor has given an unqualified opinion as at Dec-22.

Management

Organizational Structure The Company has a well-defined organizational structure that is divided into thirteen main departments. . Each Departmental Head reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). However, the Head of Internal Audit reports for the administrative matters to the CEO of the Company. Head of Board Audit Committee has an indirect reporting to the Head of Corporate Audit of the parent company i.e., Engro Corporation Limited.

Management Team Ali Rathore is the Chief Executive Officer of Engro Fertilizers. After joining Engro Corporation in 2022, he was appointed as the Chief Financial Officer of Engro Fertilizers in September 2023, based on his strong strategic and business acumen.

Effectiveness The Company has formed four committees i.e., Management Committee, Pricing Committee, Corporate HSE Committee and Capex Committee. Meetings of the committee are held on periodic basis to ensure efficiency and strategic planning.

MIS EFert utilizes completely integrated and real time ERP solution – developed by SAP AG Malaysia – with technical services provided by IBM Global Services. The ERP enables high level of integration between functions to ensure smoother data flow amongst related business process.

Control Environment EFert maintains an effective control environment with clear reporting lines, predefined authority limits and well defined policies and procedures. The review and accountability function runs through the entire organizational structure.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics In 2023, the domestic fertilizer market remained steady with urea demand holding at ~6.6MT (MY22: 6.6MT). The consistent offtake was driven by improved farm economics and the availability of urea at a discount compared to imported prices as of Dec23. The price of imported urea per bag stood at Rs. 6,036, while the local fertilizer price was Rs. 3,596 per bag, translating into a substantial discount of 40%. The industry also experienced a boost in production, with total urea output reaching 6.4MT (FY22: 6.3MT). The remaining market demand was met through manufacturers’ inventories. The domestic DAP industry saw significant growth, surging to 1.5MT(FY22: 1.15MT), marking a 34% year-on-year increase. This growth was primarily due to better agronomics and increased liquidity for farmers during the Rabi season.

Relative Position Engro has been significantly contributing in the urea market (~35%) and takes the liberty of being the second largest player in the fertilizer industry.

Revenues The Company’s urea production stood at ~2.3 MT CY23(CY22 : ~1.9MT). In CY23, standalone revenue stood at PKR 161.6bln versus PKR 96.9 bln during the same period last year achieving a sales growth of 68%. This increase is primarily due to higher sales volume of Zabardast Urea (400 KT vs. 245 KT), compared to last year. During 1QFY24 Sales stood at PKR 53.8bln (1QFY23: 33.3bln).

Margins In CY23, the gross profit of the Company increased to ~PKR 59.4bln (CY22: ~PKR 29.4bln) owing to higher urea sales translating into gross margin of ~36.8% (CY22: ~30.3%). Moreover, the operating expenses witnessed a steep hike to ~PKR 4bln (CY22: ~PKR2bln). At net level during CY 23 net margin remains stable at 16% compared to corresponding period. In 1QCY24, the gross margin reduced by ~2.9% because of increased price of cost of sales(1QCY24: PKR ~35bln, 1QCY23: PKR ~24.7bln).

Sustainability The Company continues to explore new avenues particularly in pesticides and agri farming sectors, these are expected to yield positive results in the long run. Moreover, the Company is actively evaluating alternate sources of gas with the Government to mitigate the risk depleting gas reserves.

Financial Risk

Working Capital In CY23, the inventory days decreased to 16 days (CY22: 26 days) backed faster inventory turnover. The receivable days reduced (CY23: 8 days, CY22: 11 days). The trade payable days witnessed a fall to 16 days (CY22: 20 days). Moreover, the gross and net working capital days decreased to 24 days (CY22: 37 days) and 08 days (CY22: 17 days), respectively. In 1QCY24, the gross working capital days stood at 17 days.

Coverages In CY23, the Company’s FCFO substantially increased to ~PKR 32.5bln (CY22: ~PKR 16.2bln) due to effective operational management, cost reduction, revenue growth, and optimized capital utilization. The finance cost reduced to ~PKR 1.8bln in CY23 (CY22: ~PKR 2.6bln) as borrowings stood at (CY23: ~PKR 6.3bln, CY21: ~PKR20.4bln). Interest coverage increased to 17x in CY23 (CY22: 6x). In 1QCY24, the interest coverage reduced to 8.1x.

Capitalization EFert has a moderately leveraged capital structure with a leveraging ratio of ~12.3% in CY23 (CY22: ~33.5%). The Company has decreased its reliance on short-term borrowings and currently it is ~5.1% (CY22: ~36.3%). In 1QCY24, the leveraging slightly increased to ~12.8%.



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Engro Fertilizers Listed Public Limited	Dec-23 12M Audited	Dec-22 12M Audited	Dec-21 12M Audited
A BALANCE SHEET			
1 Non-Current Assets	83,834	83,374	78,393
2 Investments	23,703	10,657	14,097
3 Related Party Exposure	816	9,944	8,132
4 Current Assets	39,373	35,801	28,188
<i>a Inventories</i>	5,364	8,427	5,176
<i>b Trade Receivables</i>	3,621	3,772	2,152
5 Total Assets	147,727	139,775	128,811
6 Current Liabilities	85,040	63,939	37,499
<i>a Trade Payables</i>	9,441	5,105	5,318
7 Borrowings	6,305	20,496	21,333
8 Related Party Exposure	-	1,053	5,200
9 Non-Current Liabilities	11,355	11,599	19,461
10 Net Assets	45,026	42,690	45,318
11 Shareholders' Equity	45,026	42,690	45,318
B INCOME STATEMENT			
1 Sales	161,666	96,945	90,585
<i>a Cost of Good Sold</i>	(102,244)	(67,544)	(55,087)
2 Gross Profit	59,422	29,401	35,498
<i>a Operating Expenses</i>	(15,707)	(10,950)	(9,649)
3 Operating Profit	43,715	18,451	25,849
<i>a Non Operating Income or (Expense)</i>	3,154	6,752	3,742
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	46,869	25,204	29,591
<i>a Total Finance Cost</i>	(1,885)	(2,699)	(1,680)
<i>b Taxation</i>	(19,306)	(7,096)	(6,841)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	25,678	15,408	21,069
C CASH FLOW STATEMENT			
<i>a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)</i>	32,589	16,244	25,067
<i>b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes</i>	29,819	15,749	31,857
<i>c Changes in Working Capital</i>	25,296	6,327	(8,280)
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	55,115	22,077	23,577
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(13,427)	(8,840)	5,977
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	(33,703)	(29,267)	(19,599)
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	7,984	(16,030)	9,955
D RATIO ANALYSIS			
1 Performance			
<i>a Sales Growth (for the period)</i>	66.8%	7.0%	20.7%
<i>b Gross Profit Margin</i>	36.8%	30.3%	39.2%
<i>c Net Profit Margin</i>	15.9%	15.9%	23.3%
<i>d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)</i>	35.8%	23.3%	18.5%
<i>e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sh</i>	58.5%	35.0%	46.7%
2 Working Capital Management			
<i>a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	24	37	32
<i>b Net Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	8	17	8
<i>c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)</i>	0.5	0.6	0.8
3 Coverages			
<i>a EBITDA / Finance Cost</i>	28.1	10.7	16.4
<i>b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB</i>	1.2	0.5	3.4
<i>c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)</i>	0.9	2.9	1.0
4 Capital Structure			
<i>a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)</i>	12.3%	33.5%	36.9%
<i>b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)</i>	14.0	90.6	56.5
<i>c Entity Average Borrowing Rate</i>	12.9%	11.6%	7.3%

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	
BB	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB-	
B+	
B	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	
CC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
- c) Debt Instrument Rating
- d) Financial Institution Rating
- e) Holding Company Rating
- f) Independent Power Producer Rating
- g) Microfinance Institution Rating
- h) Non-Banking Finance Companies Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report | Clause 11-(A)(p).
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 17-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings periodically, on annual basis; Provided that public dissemination of annual review and, in an instance of change in rating will be made; | Chapter III | 17-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 17-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 17-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e., probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past; | Chapter III | 14-3(f)(vii)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent