

The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Bestway Cement Limited

Report Contents

- 1. Rating Analysis
- 2. Financial Information
- 3. Rating Scale
- 4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History						
Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch	
06-Sep-2023	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
09-Sep-2022	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
09-Sep-2021	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
11-Sep-2020	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
28-Sep-2019	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
29-Mar-2019	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
19-Nov-2018	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
07-May-2018	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	
17-Nov-2017	AA-	A1+	Stable	Maintain	-	

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

Bestway Cement's ratings reflect its leading position in the market emanating from its high market share in north region. The company has maintained its position by taking capacity enhancement steps through organic and inorganic means. The latest period reported a reduction in cement demand and hence its production reflecting on economic downturn and inflation. However, cement's demand is expected to come full circle once the macro level fundamentals improve. Keeping the cement expansion phase in view and to maintain its position in competitive environment, Bestway completed its two expansion projects in FY23. The company successfully completed the construction of its Greenfield cement plant of 7,200 tonnes of clinker per day along with 9 MWs Waste Heat Recovery Power Plant near Paikhel, District Mianwali and Brownfield line of 7,200 tonnes of clinker per day along with 9 MWs Waste Heat Recovery Power Plant at Hattar on Mar 29, 2023 and Feb 17, 2023 respectively. In FY23, 16% industry wide volumetric decrease in sales was reported and the Company followed the same trend with a decline of 16% due to reduction in both local and export sales volumes. The Company recorded gross turnover of PKR 120 billion for FY23, 17% higher compared to PKR 103 billion during the last year. Higher revenue was driven by increase in selling prices which was necessitated by an exorbitant and persistent increase in the input costs, thus helping the company in retention of its margins. Net profit for the year grew by 16% from PKR 10.23 billion to PKR11.892 billion. The Company's ratings are strengthened by the sustainable dividend income from its strategic investment in United Bank Limited (UBL) in which company is holding 7.65%. The company's reliance on short term as well long-term debt increased to support recently completed expansion projects which impacted its leveraging, coverages as well as current ratios.

The Company's business performance with local demand remains vital with focus on sustaining margins. The ratings also draw comfort from the strong sponsor support (Bestway Group). The ratings are dependent on upholding of company's leading market position along with sustenance of business volumes and margins. The company's good business performance as compared to other players in current stretched economic scenario - challenges on demand front - remains vital for ratings.

Disclosure		
Name of Rated Entity	Bestway Cement Limited	
Type of Relationship	Solicited	
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating	
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jun-22),Methodology Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-22),Methodology Rating Modifiers(Jun-22)	
Related Research	Sector Study Cement(Mar-23)	
Rating Analysts	Uswa Sikandar uswa.sikandar@pacra.com +92-42-35869504	



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Profile

Legal Structure Bestway Cement Limited (Bestway Cement) – Flagship Company of Bestway Group (BG) – is a public limited company. The company obtained listing on Pakistan Stock Exchange on April 9, 2001.

Background Bestway Cement formally incorporated in 1993 and commenced operations in 1998. The company has history of successful acquisitions of cement plants. These acquisitions have abetted in solidifying the company's foot print in Pakistani cement industry. Latest acquisition held was of 'Pakcem Limited' with and into Bestway Cement.

Operations Bestway Cement registered Head Office is situated at College Road, F-7 Markaz, Islamabad. The company has multiple cement manufacturing facilities at locations of Hattar, Farooqia, Chakwal, Kallar Kahar and Mianwali. With recent completion of brownfield as well as greenfield expansion, company has an installed capacity of ~15.3 mln M.t.p.a. The company's sales office is located in Rawalpindi.

Ownership

Ownership Structure Bestway Cement is majority owned (77.5%) by Bestway Group (BWG) – UK – mainly through corporate (~60%), followed by individuals (~17%). Bestway group companies include Bestway International Holdings Limited and Bestway Foundation.

Stability Ownership of Bestway cement remained same throughout the years. Therefore no change is expected in foreseeable future.

Business Acumen Bestway Group is a British multinational conglomerate based in London, United Kingdom. It has its operations in United Kingdom and Pakistan. The association with various diversified businesses, since last few decades, reflects strong business acumen of sponsors.

Financial Strength Bestway Group (end-Jun22 shareholders' equity: GBP 2.09bln, asset base: GBP 15.245bln) has business interests in the segments of wholesale segment, cement manufacturing, global banking, real estate, and pharmaceutical.

Governance

Board Structure The Company's eight member board comprises mainly BWG nominees. Two directors are Bestway Cement's executives (including CEO) while six are non-executive directors including two independent members.

Members' Profile Board members are equipped with necessary skill set as they have diversified professional background. Chairman, Sir Mohammed Anwar Pervez is associated with the group since 1984.

Board Effectiveness There are four board committees in place to assist board through decision making process. The committees are: 1) Audit committee 2) Human Resource & Remuneration Committee (HR&R) 3) Nomination Committee & 4) Risk Management Committee.

Financial Transparency The Company's new auditors M/s. A.F. Ferguson & Co., Chartered Accountants, the external auditor, has given unqualified opinion on the company's financial statements for the year ended June, 2023.

Management

Organizational Structure The company has a streamlined organizational structure with clearly demarcated roles and high degree of delegation.

Management Team The CEO, Mr. Zameer Mohammed Choudrey (also Group CEO), is associated with BWG since 1984. Mr. M. Irfan A Sheikh, is the Managing Director and Mr. Muhammad Danish Khan is the acting CFO (Previously designated as Manager Accounts & Finance).

Effectiveness A monthly Coordination Committee (ManCom) meeting is held, that is attended by the CEO (either through telecon or in person), four EDs, and four General Managers Works. The members review business operational progress while taking the decisions there and then.

 $\label{eq:missing} \textbf{MIS} \ \ \text{Oracle-based ERP system; Comprehensive MIS reporting to department heads}.$

Control Environment Geographically spread plant locations, equipped with state-of-the-art technology infrastructure. Diversified sources including Waste Heat Recovery Plants (WHRPP), Boilers, WAPDA, captive power plants and gas-based generators to meet power requirements.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics Overall, cement dispatches by the industry decreased by 16% from 52.9 million tonnes to 44.7 million tonnes in FY23. The decrease in domestic and export sales' volumes was primarily driven by the the country being hit by a devastating flash flood, socio-political unrest and a grave foreign exchange shortfall and global macro economic vulnerabilities. Since the prices of all construction materials in general are rising, the industry can be expected to maintain its pricing power. This may help the sector to sustain its margins at the gross and operating levels but some deterioration is expected at the net margins levels due to exorbitant rise in interest rate. Going forward, elevated input costs and slower offtakes are expected to weigh negatively on the industry in the short term.

Relative Position After commencement of cement production in brownfield cement plant at Hattar of 7,200 tonnes of clinker per day and the greenfield plant of identical capacity at Mianwali site on 17 February 2023 and 29 March 2023 respectively, the company's capacity has increased to 15.3 million tonnes of cement per annum, bringing it at par with the capacity of Lucky Cement. They are followed by D.G Khan cement with ~7mln MT capacities respectively.

Revenues During FY23, volumetric analysis reveals that the company's total production as well as dispatches shrunk by ~16% due to inflationary pressures adversely impacting the commodity's demand. Local-export dispatches mix (FY23: 99:1; FY22: 99:1; FY21: 95:5; FY20: 95:05), almost remained filled by domestic market sales. Total cement capacity utilization declined to 54% (FY22: 73%) due to decrease in dispatches stemming from lower demand. Despite volumetric decrease, the company's topline witnessed upwards trend and recorded at PKR 87.742bln in FY23 as compared to PKR 72.371 bln in FY22 due to increased selling prices while profits were recorded at PKR ~11.892bln (FY22: PKR 10.239bln). Going forward, the Company's capacity utilization and sustainability of profits remains challenging due to stubborn inflation, high taxation and increase in finance cost which may impact demand and erode company's profit margins.

Margins During FY23, Gross and net profit margins were reported at 31.1% (FY22: 31.8%) and 13.6% (FY22: 14.1%) respectively. Company managed to maintain its performance ratios at similar levels despite exorbitant increase in input costs as well as finance cost by passing on their impact through increase in sales prices.

Sustainability Despite facing a plethora of challenges of economic as well as political nature, the company successfully commissioned two state-of-the-art cement plants along with Waste Heat Recover Power Plant at Mianwali and Hattar in FY-23, making it the largest cement producer in the country. The company is increasingly becoming less dependent on national grid through installation of solar power plants and waste heat recovery power plants (WHRPP). The company installed solar capacity at all five locations during the FY-23 taking its current solar capacity to 89.6 MWs.

Financial Risk

Working Capital During FY23, Bestway Cement's working capital requirements, represented by net cash cycle (net working capital days) – a function of inventory, receivables and payables –increased to 33days (FY22: 22days). This is on account of increase in inventory days and decrease in trade payables days. The company meets working capital requirements through a mix of internal cashflows and STBs. The quantum of STBs stood at PKR 23.293bln at end-Jun23 (end-Jun22: PKR 11.985bln). Current ratio stood at (end-Jun-23: 2.1x; end-Jun-22: 1.4x).

Coverages During FY23, EBITDA clocked in at PKR 28.414bln (FY22: 23.512 bln) while FCFO's were recorded at PKR22.472bln (FY22: 19.508 bln) due to increased sales. Financial charges increased to PKR. 6.8 billion as against PKR 2.1 billion in FY22 due to increase in average borrowing cost and borrowings Resultantly, interest coverage ratio was recorded at 3.3x as compared to 13.5x in FY22.

Capitalization Total borrowings and CMLTD stood at PKR 76.08bln and 6. 452bln in FY23 as opposed to PKR 38.495bln & 4.528bln in FY22 due to increased borrowings for new projects. This increased debt to debt plus equity ratio to ~55.2% (FY22: 38.8%). The company's equity base augmented to PKR 61.846bln (FY22: PKR 60.758bln) due to accumulation of profits and has strong capacity to absorb economic shocks in the medium term.





Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited PKR mln

The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited				PKR mln
Bestway Cement Limited	Jun-23	Jun-22	Jun-21	Jun-20
Cement	12M	12M	12M	12M
A BALANCE SHEET				
1 Non-Current Assets	122,976	93,247	62,706	63,618
2 Investments	5,109	197	274	266
3 Related Party Exposure	16,066	14,309	14,208	13,688
4 Current Assets	30,989	25,690	21,710	17,234
a Inventories b Trade Receivables	7,307 1,211	4,438 916	3,762 1,052	2,291 1,728
5 Total Assets	175,140	133,444	98,898	94,806
6 Current Liabilities	14,828	17,940	12,505	7,692
a Trade Payables	3,381	4,019	3,735	1,871
7 Borrowings	76,084	38,495	14,711	22,525
8 Related Party Exposure	-	1,048	-	-
9 Non-Current Liabilities	22,383	15,202	11,560	9,936
10 Net Assets	61,846	60,758	60,123	54,653
11 Shareholders' Equity	61,846	60,758	60,123	54,653
_				
B INCOME STATEMENT				
1 Sales	87,742	72,371	56,864	37,129
a Cost of Good Sold	(60,426)	(49,377)	(40,261)	(36,012)
2 Gross Profit	27,316	22,993	16,603	1,117
a Operating Expenses	(1,984)	(2,555)	(1,218)	(1,374)
3 Operating Profit	25,332	20,439	15,385	(257)
a Non Operating Income or (Expense)	4,094	1,004	1,223	1,902
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	29,426	21,443	16,609	1,645
a Total Finance Cost	(6,828)	(2,096)	(1,071)	(2,152)
b Taxation	(10,707)	(9,108)	(3,960)	556
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	11,892	10,239	11,578	49
C CASH FLOW STATEMENT				
a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)	22,472	19,508	14,947	1,302
b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes	16,401	17,921	13,405	(736)
c Changes in Working Capital	(6,101)	(4,450)	2,487	(1,223)
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	10,299	13,472	15,893	(1,960)
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(32,566)	(32,395)	(890)	(423)
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	13,174	8,976	(2,491)	2,268
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	(9,092)	(9,948)	12,512	(115)
D RATIO ANALYSIS				
1 Performance	21.2%	27.3%	53.2%	-30.7%
a Sales Growth (for the period)	31.1%	31.8%	29.2%	3.0%
b Gross Profit Margin c Net Profit Margin	13.6%	14.1%	20.4%	0.1%
d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)	18.7%	20.8%	30.7%	0.1%
e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sh	21.8%	19.4%	19.7%	0.1%
2 Working Capital Management	21.070	1,,0	121170	0.17,0
a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)	48	42	43	62
b Net Working Capital (Average Days)	33	22	25	41
c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)	2.1	1.4	1.7	2.2
3 Coverages				
a EBITDA / Finance Cost	4.2	16.3	17.0	1.5
b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB	1.5	1.9	6.7	0.4
c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)	3.5	1.7	0.9	-15.8
4 Capital Structure				
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)	55.2%	38.8%	19.7%	29.2%
b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
c Entity Average Borrowing Rate	10.9%	6.3%	6.0%	10.9%



Corporate Rating Criteria

Scale

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

	Long-term Rating			
Scale	Definition			
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments			
AA+				
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.			
AA-				
A +				
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.			
<u>A</u> -				
BBB+				
ввв	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.			
BBB-				
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk			
ВВ	developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.			
BB-	Commitments to be medi			
\mathbf{B} +				
В	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.			
B-				
CCC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility.			
CC	Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.			
C	appears probable. C. Ratings signal infinitient default.			
D	Obligations are currently in default.			

Short-term Rating Scale **Definition** The highest capacity for timely repayment. A1+ A strong capacity for timely **A1** repayment. A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to **A2** adverse changes in business. economic, or financial conditions An adequate capacity for timely repayment. **A3** Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveill the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

- a) Broker Entity Rating
- b) Corporate Rating
- c) Debt Instrument Rating
- d) Financial Institution Rating
- e) Holding Company Rating
- f) Independent Power Producer Rating
- g) Microfinance Institution Rating
- h) Non-Banking Finance Companies Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

(Credit Rating Companies Regulations, 2016)

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report | Clause 11-(A)(p).
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r) (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(f-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent