



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Premier Industrial Chemical MFG. Co. (Pvt.) Limited

Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
08-Sep-2023	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
09-Sep-2022	A-	A2	Stable	Initial	-

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

Pakistan's ethanol industry is predominately an export-based owing to meager domestic consumption, with approximately 70% of the domestically produced ethanol being exported. The Country's ethanol exports stood at 800,000mln liters in market year Sep'22 (MY22) (MY21: 435,000mln liters), witnessing ~83% increase. Prices in the global market have been high and observed ~ 33% increase. On the back of a spike in ethanol demand, despite unsteady economic conditions worldwide. Impact of high international ethanol prices was supplemented by devaluation of the Pakistani Rupee. In MY23, sugarcane production in Pakistan demonstrated growth (MY23: 91mlnMT, MY22: 88mln MT) Concurrently, domestic distilleries maintained stable profitability. During the ongoing MY23, the industry's margin is expected to remain stable owing to increased ethanol prices, though raw material prices have also increased due to high cost of molasses.

The ratings reflect Premier Industrial Chemical MFG. Co. (Pvt.) Limited's ('the Company') prominent position in the country's ethanol export segment emanating from its substantial capacity. The Company's performance has remained adequately stable over the years supplemented by high margins, and profitability. The Company's gross margin stood at 24% (CY21: 10%) and net margin stood at 14.5% in CY22 (CY21: 1%), as a resultant of 2.5-times growth in revenue, which indicate substantial improvements in Company's financials. Lately, the company's considerable increase in capacity utilization, driven by enhanced molasses availability (Capacity utilization for CY22: 59%, compared to CY21: 30%), significantly contributed to the improvement in financial performance. Similar trends were observed prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. The sugar and ethanol industries have faced substantial challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic, primarily stemming from a dual impact of reduced demand for biofuels and a decreased supply of molasses. This combination has significantly disrupted the sector's stability and operations. This has directly impacted revenue and, as a result, profits, during COVID-19 phase. The ratings also draw strength from the Company's association with group entities operating in the paper segment. The Company's revenue comprises primarily of ethanol exports and local sales of juices and flavored milk. The Company has a moderately leveraged capital structure of 34% (CY21: 31%), supplemented by strong coverages and effective working capital management. The Company has a very strong equity base. The sponsors' willingness to support the Company bodes well for the ratings.

Ratings are dependent on the management's ability to effectively sustain the improved volumes and margins. Prudent debt and liquidity management is critical for ratings. Any deterioration in coverages and/or drag of high advances extended to group concerns, if any, will adversely impact the ratings. Meanwhile, strengthening governance framework remains imperative for ratings.

Disclosure

Name of Rated Entity	Premier Industrial Chemical MFG. Co. (Pvt.) Limited
Type of Relationship	Solicited
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jul-23),Methodology Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jul-23),Methodology Rating Modifiers(Apr-23)
Related Research	Sector Study Sugar(Aug-23)
Rating Analysts	Muhammad Zain Ayaz zain.ayaz@pacra.com +92-42-35869504

Profile

Legal Structure Premier Industrial Chemical Manufacturing Co. (Private) Limited ('the Company') was incorporated in Jun-03 as a private limited company.

Background Premier Group of Industries ('the Group') consists of companies operating in the ethanol, paper, and juices segment. The Group, founded by Sheikh Zahoor Ali (late), started its operations in 1979 with a paper mill. Over the years the second generation of the business diversified the operations by venturing into ethanol and juices segments. The Company was formed in 2003 and started operations in 2007 mainly producing industrial grade ethanol. In 2012, the dairy and juices plant was also added for manufacturing juices and flavored milk.

Operations The Company is primarily engaged in the manufacturing and sale of food grade ethanol, dairy products and juices. Total annual production capacity is 120,000 M. Tons with Superfine Ethanol of 96% strength and Fuel grade Ethanol of 99.9%. Capacity utilization has seen a raise in recent years due to the higher production of the raw material (molasses). However, In CY22, the Company produced 71,000 MT of ethanol (CY19: 30,557) resulting in improved capacity utilization of ~59% (CY21: 30%). During CY22 the annual capacity utilization increased compared to the preceding year due to better availability of molasses.

Ownership

Ownership Structure The Company is completely owned by the sponsoring family. Majority shareholding rests with Mr. Zahoor's sons, Mr. Muhammad Saeed (~20.8%), Mr. Shahid Saeed (~20.8%), and Mr. Tahir Saeed (~20.8%). The remaining shareholding rests with Mrs. Zahra Tahir (~12.5%), Mrs. Nagma Tahir (~12.5%), and Mr. Muhammad Saeed's sons, Mr. Ahsen Ali (~5.5%), Mr. Asad Ali (~5.5%), and Mr. Turab Ali (~1.50%).

Stability The ownership structure is seen as stable. However, formal succession plan further enhances the stability of the structure

Business Acumen Sponsors are considered to have adequate business acumen through its group. The Group has vested business interest in the industries of ethanol, paper and steel lately.

Financial Strength The Company has adequate financial strength derived from its Group and support of sponsors.

Governance

Board Structure Board of Directors comprises five members including the Chairman, who is also the CEO, and four Executive-Directors. The Board is dominated by the sponsoring family.

Members' Profile Mr. Muhammad Saeed, acts as the Chairman of the Board. He has over 30 years of industrial experience in Paper and Ethanol sectors and has been associated with the Company since inception

Board Effectiveness Board meetings are conducted on need-basis. The Board lacks any sub-committees

Financial Transparency External Auditors of the Company, Crowe Hussain Chaudhary & Co. Chartered Accountants have expressed an unqualified opinion on financial statements for CY22. The firm has been categorized in category 'A' by SBP and has been QCR rated by ICAP.

Management

Organizational Structure The Company's organizational structure has been optimized as per the operations. The Company operates through Finance, Sales & Marketing, Production, and Admin & HR. The functions of finance and production are headed by Directors along with departmental heads. Ultimate reporting lines rest with the CEO, who makes pertinent decisions of the Company.

Management Team The Company's management comprises experienced and qualified individuals. Mr. Muhammad Saeed, the Chief Executive Officer, is a graduate and has been associated with the Company since inception. He has more than 31 years of experience in the ethanol and paper segments. Director, Mr. Shahid Saeed, has over 26 years of experience in the paper and juices sectors and heads the Company's dairy and juices segment.

Effectiveness The Company does not have formal management committees in place. However, performance is discussed among management on a frequent basis to review activity.

MIS The Company has deployed ERP software from CosmoSoft. Reports are generated on daily basis for the management.

Control Environment The Company has outsourced its internal audit function to Saim & Co. Chartered Accountants.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics Pakistan's ethanol industry is largely export-based owing to meager domestic consumption. This segment is highly sensitive to rupee fluctuations as ~50%-70% of ethanol produced in Pakistan is exported. The Country's ethanol exports stood at 800,000mln liters in MY22 (MY21: 435,000mln liters), witnessing ~83% increase. Prices in the global market have been high, on the back of a spike in ethanol demand, despite unsteady economic conditions worldwide. Impact of high international ethanol prices was supplemented by devaluation of the Pakistani Rupee. Sugarcane production in Pakistan during MY23 improved, as domestic distilleries posted stable profits. During the ongoing MY23, the industry's margin are expected to remain stable owing to increased ethanol prices, though raw material prices have also increased from high cost of molasses.

Relative Position The Company's rated capacity for ethanol ranks amongst the highest in the country. During CY22, observed a significant improvement in the company's utilization rate, which has surged to 59% in CY22 compared to 30% in CY21. Looking ahead, the company proactively secures the supply of molasses for the forthcoming years. This strategic move positions the company well to either sustain or further enhance its utilization capacity in the upcoming fiscal year.

Revenues During CY22 total revenue stood at ~PKR 13,836mln (CY21: PKR 5,497mln), witnessing a significant increase of ~151%, mainly attributable to higher production of ethanol, increase in international prices of ethanol, and rupee devaluation. The Company's ethanol production increase YoY due to the better availability of molasses and higher sugar-cane production.

Margins The company's margins have improved in recent years. During CY22, the Company's gross margin surged and stood at 24% (CY21: 10.7%). Similarly, operating margin surged to 18% (CY21: 4.3%). The Company's net income stood at PKR 2000mln during CY22 (CY21: PKR 57mln). As a result, net profit margin surged to 14.5% (CY21: 1%). During the ongoing CY23, the management anticipates significant improvement in the margins owing to better availability of molasses.

Sustainability The Company plans to increase its utilization and is looking for alternatives to sugar-cane based molasses to pursue the desired targets, {Premier management are in the process of attempting to import machinery intended for utilization within a corn-based ethanol facility. However, the progression of this project is presently impeded by import restrictions.

Financial Risk

Working Capital During CY22, the Company's net working capital days improved to 36 days from 70 days in CY21, on the back of lower average inventory days (CY22: 40 days, CY21: 75 days). Trade receivable days decreased to 4 days in CY21 from 33 days in the preceding year and trade payable days stood at 9 days (CY20: 13 days). Short term trade leverage and short-term total leverage stood remain high, indicating ample room to borrow against trade assets and total current assets.

Coverages Interest coverage ratio in CY22 rises to 7.8x (CY21: 2.6x). Increased coverages are a factor of a soared in FCFO which increased to 930% (CY:22 ~PKR 2,264mln in CY21,PKR~ 200mln in the preceding year). Finance cost (CY22: PKR ~312mln, CY21: PKR ~112mln) increased due to higher interest rates and borrowings. The debt payback period also improved and stood at 0.1, (CY21: 1.9).

Capitalization The Company has a moderately leveraged capital structure represented through a debt-to-equity ratio of ~34% in CY22 (CY21: 31%). The Company's debt comprises of 96% short-term borrowings. The Company has mainly obtained ERF-Part II facility and also running finance for working capital requirement. Total debt as at CY22 stood at ~PKR 4,850mln (CY21: PKR 3,340mln). Going forward, the Company's capital structure is expected to remain similar.



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Financial Summary

PKR mln

Premier Industrial Chemical MFG. Co (Pvt.) Ltd Sugar	Dec-22 12M	Jun-22 6M	Dec-21 12M	Dec-20 12M
---	---------------	--------------	---------------	---------------

A BALANCE SHEET

1 Non-Current Assets	5,333	5,064	5,462	4,584
2 Investments	-	-	-	100
3 Related Party Exposure	551	-	-	1
4 Current Assets	8,595	5,149	5,371	5,100
<i>a Inventories</i>	1,948	1,124	1,050	1,211
<i>b Trade Receivables</i>	13	1,469	43	87
5 Total Assets	14,479	10,212	10,833	9,785
6 Current Liabilities	456	185	286	195
<i>a Trade Payables</i>	161	72	176	109
7 Borrowings	4,850	2,218	3,340	2,255
8 Related Party Exposure	-	1,300	-	1,423
9 Non-Current Liabilities	-	-	-	-
10 Net Assets	9,173	6,509	7,208	5,912
11 Shareholders' Equity	9,173	6,852	7,198	5,902

B INCOME STATEMENT

1 Sales	13,836	7,071	5,497	3,330
<i>a Cost of Good Sold</i>	(10,517)	(4,909)	(4,909)	(2,609)
2 Gross Profit	3,319	2,162	588	722
<i>a Operating Expenses</i>	(831)	(404)	(353)	(331)
3 Operating Profit	2,487	1,758	235	391
<i>a Non Operating Income or (Expense)</i>	15	(35)	30	39
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	2,503	1,723	265	430
<i>a Total Finance Cost</i>	(340)	(47)	(123)	(79)
<i>b Taxation</i>	(163)	(71)	(85)	(58)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	2,000	1,606	57	293

C CASH FLOW STATEMENT

<i>a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)</i>	2,264	1,808	220	416
<i>b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital</i>	2,028	1,721	208	394
<i>c Changes in Working Capital</i>	(3,620)	(2,685)	783	(237)
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	(1,593)	(963)	990	156
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	324	(74)	(895)	(506)
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	1,485	73	902	(1,038)
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	217	(964)	997	(1,388)

D RATIO ANALYSIS

1 Performance				
<i>a Sales Growth (for the period)</i>	151.7%	157.3%	65.0%	-41.6%
<i>b Gross Profit Margin</i>	24.0%	30.6%	10.7%	21.7%
<i>c Net Profit Margin</i>	14.5%	22.7%	1.0%	8.8%
<i>d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital)</i>	-9.8%	-12.4%	18.2%	5.4%
<i>e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets / Equity)]</i>	24.4%	45.7%	0.9%	5.1%
2 Working Capital Management				
<i>a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	40	48	79	166
<i>b Net Working Capital (Average Days)</i>	36	44	70	154
<i>c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)</i>	18.9	27.8	18.8	26.1
3 Coverages				
<i>a EBITDA / Finance Cost</i>	7.8	44.0	2.6	6.6
<i>b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB</i>	7.0	42.6	2.0	5.7
<i>c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Financing)</i>	0.1	0.4	1.9	4.1
4 Capital Structure				
<i>a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)</i>	34.6%	33.9%	31.7%	38.4%
<i>b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)</i>	79.2	197.2	101.8	58.2
<i>c Entity Average Borrowing Rate</i>	11.2%	3.8%	4.2%	1.7%

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	
BB	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB-	
B+	
B	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	
CC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):
 a) Broker Entity Rating
 b) Corporate Rating
 c) Debt Instrument Rating
 d) Financial Institution Rating
 e) Holding Company Rating
 f) Independent Power Producer Rating
 g) Microfinance Institution Rating
 h) Non-Banking Finance Companies Rating

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)

ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)

iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

(3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)

(4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)

(5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

(6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)

(7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report | Clause 11-(A)(p).

(8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)

(9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)

(10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)

(11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

(12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity

(13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)

(14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)

(15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)

(16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)

(17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

(18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)

(19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)

(20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)

(21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(F-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent