



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

Rating Report

Punjab Oil Mills Limited

Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
11-Feb-2022	BBB+	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
11-Feb-2021	BBB+	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
29-Feb-2020	BBB+	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
30-Aug-2019	BBB+	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
01-Mar-2019	BBB+	A2	Stable	Initial	-

Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

Pakistan's edible oil industry is heavily reliant on imports since oilseeds account for ~80% of the cost of production. Edible oil is the country's 2nd largest import after petroleum. Pakistan's total oil and fats consumption is ~ 5mln MT per annum. Consumption is met by 70% (~3.3 MMT) of edible oil import. The remaining 30% (~1.7 MMT) of edible oil is produced from oilseeds (local ~ 3.5MMT, imported ~ 3.1 MMT). Additionally, low domestic oilseed production in Pakistan caused by a distortion in support price mechanism and lower yields have pushed farmers away from oilseed, further increasing dependence on imports. Demand for edible has picked up due to the reopening of demand avenues. On the supply side, the key raw materials – oilseed and RBD palm oil – are imported primarily from USA, Brazil, and Malaysia. Raw material prices have continued to inflate amid supply uncertainties and historically low global inventory levels, along with rupee devaluation impacting importers. Subsequently, prices of cooking oil and vegetable ghee have remained on the higher side. Going forward, sales are expected to remain stable. Margins and profitability are expected to improve for players and costs will be offset by the increased demand and in turn prices.

The ratings reflect Punjab Oil Mills Limited's ('Punjab Oil' or 'the Company') established presence in the cooking oil industry through its flagship brands Canolive and Zaiqa. The Company's premium brand (Canolive) enjoys solid margins; however, during FY21 the increased cost of raw materials could not be fully passed on. This kept the margins under pressure despite higher prices of cooking oil and vegetable ghee locally. Going forward, revenue and profitability are expected to recoup from all channels supported by increased prices, especially in branded cooking oil segment, amid challenges arising from stern market competition. The Company is expected to engage in high marketing and promotional activities for the development of new products. The ratings draw strength from the Company's strong financial profile, comprising minimal short-term and long-term borrowings. The Company has a low leveraged capital structure, strong coverage ratios and efficient working capital management. Strong acumen of sponsors bodes well for the Company.

The ratings are dependent on the management's ability to improve profitability and gain market share, while maintaining prudent working capital management. Substantial increase in leveraging may impact ratings.

Disclosure

Name of Rated Entity	Punjab Oil Mills Limited
Type of Relationship	Solicited
Purpose of the Rating	Entity Rating
Applicable Criteria	Methodology Corporate Rating(Jun-21),Criteria Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jun-21),Criteria Rating Modifiers(Jun-21)
Related Research	Sector Study Edible Oil(Feb-21)
Rating Analysts	Ahmad Faraz Arif ahmad.faraz@pacra.com +92-42-35869504

Profile

Legal Structure Punjab Oil Mills Limited ('Punjab Oil' or 'the Company') is a public listed company, listed on the Pakistan Stock Exchange.

Background The Company was incorporated in 1983, and commenced operations in 1984. The Company's product portfolio, which initially comprised only banaspati ghee and cooking oil, has diversified with the addition of several new products.

Operations The Company is primarily engaged in the manufacturing and sale of banaspati ghee, cooking oil, specialty fats, laundry soaps, mushrooms and coffee. The Company's facility is located in the Industrial Triangle, Islamabad, with a combined refining capacity of 33,000 MT per annum. The capacity for refining cooking oil is 19,000 MT and for ghee/specialty fats is 14,000 MT per annum.

Ownership

Ownership Structure Three families, namely, Jahangir Family, Malik Family and Batla Family combined (71%) have majority shareholding in the Company through individuals and associated Companies. Other shareholders include NIT and ICP (10%), Modarabas and Mutual Funds (8%), and the general public (11%).

Stability Ownership structure of the business is seen as stable, although no formal shareholding agreement exists among sponsoring families.

Business Acumen Sponsors of the Company have strong profiles relating to textile, finance and economics, and edible oil industries.

Financial Strength The Company's financial strength is represented through the support of its group. The Company is seen as the flagship entity for the Malik Family, whereas, Jahangir Family and Batla Family also have other business interests.

Governance

Board Structure The Company's Board of Directors consists of seven members including the Chairman, four non-Executive Directors and two Executive Directors. One non-Executive Director is a nominee of NIT.

Members' Profile Board members possess strong profiles and specialize in textiles, finance and economics, in addition to edible oils. Additionally, Board Chairman, Mr. Tahir Jahangir, previously presided over Towel Manufacturers Association of Pakistan. Mr. Usman Illahi Malik serves as the CEO on the Board of Punjab Oil Mills Ltd. He has more than two decades of experience and has been associated with the company for 22 years. He is also a member of Human Resource and Remuneration Committee.

Board Effectiveness Board of Directors maintain effective oversight through Audit Committee and Human Resources & Remuneration Committee. Adequate frequency and high participation of meetings among board members bodes well for the Company.

Financial Transparency The Company has appointed M/s Malik Haroon Shahid Safdar & Co., Chartered Accountants, as external auditors. The firm, QCR rated not on SBP Panel of auditors, expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the Company ending in Jun-21.

Management

Organizational Structure The Company's organizational structure is based on five main departments, namely, operations & technical, planning & development, internal audit, sales and marketing, and finance. Functions relating to production and maintenance come under the purview of Director Technical. Similarly, functions of sales and marketing, are looked after by Director Sales. Both directors are supported by relevant department heads along with teams of individuals. Ultimate reporting lies with the CEO, with the exception of the internal audit department which functionally reports to the Audit Committee and administratively reports to the CEO.

Management Team Management comprises of experienced individuals. Mr. Usman Illahi Malik, the CEO, has recently taken over amid the untimely demise of his father, Mr. Izaz Illahi Malik. He has previously served as the Company's Director Planning and Development, and holds a degree in Finance and Marketing from the Wharton School of Business and is a qualified Chartered Financial Analyst.

Effectiveness Management lacks presence of formal committees. However, meetings among senior management are conducted daily to ensure operational efficiency.

MIS The Company deploys Microsoft Dynamics as its Enterprise Resource and Planning system with eleven, fully integrated, modules.

Control Environment In order to ensure operational efficiency, the Company has setup an Internal Audit function. Regular reviews are undertaken by the department to monitor operational control.

Business Risk

Industry Dynamics Pakistan's edible oil industry is heavily reliant on imports since oilseeds account for ~80% of the cost of production. Edible oil is the country's 2nd largest import after petroleum. Consumption is met by 70% (~3.3 MMT) of edible oil import. The remaining 30% (~1.7 MMT) of edible oil is produced from oilseeds (local ~ 3.5MMT, imported ~ 3.1MMT). Since Dec-20, raw material prices have continued to inflate amid supply uncertainties and historically low global inventory levels, along with rupee devaluation impacting importers. The price of Palm Oil stood at 990.27 USD/MT in Jan-21 followed by an increase of 36% to 1,348.07 USD/MT at Nov-21. Similarly, the price of Soybean oilseed stood at 576 USD/MT in Jan-21 and 600 USD/MT in Jun-21. Subsequently, prices of cooking oil and vegetable ghee have remained on the higher side.

Relative Position Punjab Oil Mills Limited has a market share of ~0.8% in terms of revenue and ~0.8% in terms of refining in edible oil segment.

Revenues The Company sources ~ 98% of its revenue from cooking oil and banaspati ghee, with cooking oil generating ~65% of total revenue, followed by banaspati ghee (32%), specialty fats (1%), soap (1%), coffee (1%), and mushrooms (1%). The Company posted a topline of PKR 6.0bln during FY21, witnessing an increase of 13% from FY20 (PKR 5.3bln). The increase was mainly attributed to higher local prices of cooking oil and vegetable ghee. In 1QFY22, the Company posted revenue of PKR 1.8bln, up by 50% from the same period last year (1QFY21: PKR 1.2bln), owing to the increase in prices of edible oil products, locally.

Margins Gross margin for the year witnessed decrease and stood at 11% (FY20: 15%). This was due to increased prices of raw materials. Similarly, on net and operational levels, the margins remained under pressure and stood at 1.4% and -0.3% during FY21, respectively. (FY20: 4.3%, 1.6%) as the Company posted net loss of PKR 16mln during FY21. In 1QFY22, the Company's gross margin dipped to 11.1% (1QFY21: 17.1%) despite a higher topline because the increased input costs offset the increase in price. Operating and net margins dipped to 3.1% and 1.5%, respectively (1QFY21: 4.1% and 2.9%) as the Company posted net income of PKR 27mln (1QFY21: PKR 36mln)

Sustainability The Company is continuously invested in optimizing its operations.

Financial Risk

Working Capital In FY21, the average debtor days decreased to 49 days from 53 days in FY20. Prudent management of debtors is reflected in stable average debtor days which have ranged between 45-53 days over the past three years (FY19 – FY21). Further, high reliance on imported raw materials forces the Company to maintain sufficient stock levels which have kept average raw material days slightly elevated. However, effective control on stock of finished goods have helped average inventory levels float around 22 days in FY21. Overall, net cash cycle stood at 59 days in FY21 (FY20: 73 days). Short-term trade leverage and short-term total leverage stood at ~46% and ~40% as of FY21, indicating adequate room to borrow against trade assets.

Coverages Free cash flows stood at PKR 44mln in FY21 (FY20: PKR 153mln). The Company finance cost stood at PKR 18mln in FY21 (FY20: PKR 22mln). Interest cover deteriorated to 2.9x in FY20 (FY20: 7.9x). Similarly, debt coverage ratio deteriorated to 1.2x in FY21 (FY20: 5.8x). In 1QFY22, the free cash flows stood at PKR 50mln (1QFY21: PKR 53mln) and finance cost stood at PKR 7mln (1QFY21: PKR 4mln) resulting in strong interest coverage ratio of 8.8x (1QFY21: 13.8x) and debt coverage ratio of 4.6x (1QFY21: 6x).

Capitalization The Company has a low leveraged capital structure, represented by a debt-to-equity ratio of 16% as of FY21. Debt taken up by the Company comprises short-term borrowings (88%) and long-term borrowings (12%). Short-term borrowings are utilized for working capital requirements and retirement of letters of credit. As of FY21, total debt of the Company stands at PKR 249mln against an equity base of PKR 1.3bln indicating substantial room to borrow for expansionary measures. As of 1QFY22 total debt of the Company stood at PKR 333mln against an equity base of PKR 1.3bln and the leveraging ratio stood at ~21%.



Punjab Oil Mills Limited Edible Oil	Sep-21 3M	Jun-21 12M	Sep-20 3M	Jun-20 12M	Jun-19 12M
A BALANCE SHEET					
1 Non-Current Assets	724	725	697	702	717
2 Investments	-	-	-	-	-
3 Related Party Exposure	3	3	2	2	1
4 Current Assets	2,024	1,762	1,725	1,713	1,480
a Inventories	376	505	429	404	329
b Trade Receivables	1,078	787	731	816	702
5 Total Assets	2,751	2,490	2,423	2,417	2,199
6 Current Liabilities	987	842	805	804	732
a Trade Payables	431	361	221	229	166
7 Borrowings	333	249	167	201	124
8 Related Party Exposure	-	-	-	-	-
9 Non-Current Liabilities	135	131	127	122	100
10 Net Assets	1,295	1,268	1,325	1,289	1,242
11 Shareholders' Equity	1,295	1,268	1,325	1,289	1,242
B INCOME STATEMENT					
1 Sales	1,829	5,982	1,237	5,268	5,504
a Cost of Good Sold	(1,625)	(5,283)	(1,025)	(4,479)	(4,697)
2 Gross Profit	203	699	211	789	808
a Operating Expenses	(146)	(634)	(161)	(564)	(558)
3 Operating Profit	57	66	51	225	250
a Non Operating Income or (Expense)	(1)	19	10	4	(5)
4 Profit or (Loss) before Interest and Tax	57	84	61	228	244
a Total Finance Cost	(7)	(18)	(4)	(22)	(16)
b Taxation	(23)	(83)	(20)	(123)	(121)
6 Net Income Or (Loss)	27	(17)	36	84	107
C CASH FLOW STATEMENT					
a Free Cash Flows from Operations (FCFO)	50	44	53	153	174
b Net Cash from Operating Activities before Working Capital Changes	44	26	48	133	159
c Changes in Working Capital	(38)	(9)	40	(149)	(97)
1 Net Cash provided by Operating Activities	6	17	88	(16)	62
2 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Investing Activities	(10)	(71)	(6)	(27)	(89)
3 Net Cash (Used in) or Available From Financing Activities	85	47	(35)	55	14
4 Net Cash generated or (Used) during the period	81	(6)	47	12	(13)
D RATIO ANALYSIS					
1 Performance					
a Sales Growth (for the period)	22.3%	13.5%	-6.1%	-4.3%	--
b Gross Profit Margin	11.1%	11.7%	17.1%	15.0%	14.7%
c Net Profit Margin	1.5%	-0.3%	2.9%	1.6%	1.9%
d Cash Conversion Efficiency (FCFO adjusted for Working Capital/Sales)	0.6%	0.6%	7.5%	0.1%	1.4%
e Return on Equity [Net Profit Margin * Asset Turnover * (Total Assets/Sh	8.5%	-1.3%	11.1%	6.7%	8.6%
2 Working Capital Management					
a Gross Working Capital (Average Days)	69	77	88	86	58
b Net Working Capital (Average Days)	49	59	71	73	47
c Current Ratio (Current Assets / Current Liabilities)	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.0
3 Coverages					
a EBITDA / Finance Cost	13.4	9.9	21.4	15.6	23.6
b FCFO / Finance Cost+CMLTB+Excess STB	4.6	1.2	9.6	5.8	13.1
c Debt Payback (Total Borrowings+Excess STB) / (FCFO-Finance Cost)	0.1	1.0	0.2	0.2	0.0
4 Capital Structure					
a Total Borrowings / (Total Borrowings+Shareholders' Equity)	20.5%	16.4%	11.2%	13.5%	9.1%
b Interest or Markup Payable (Days)	50.7	51.8	36.3	47.1	35.2
c Entity Average Borrowing Rate	9.6%	7.3%	8.7%	11.9%	10.7%

Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	Highest credit quality. Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	Very high credit quality. Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	High credit quality. Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	Good credit quality. Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	Moderate risk. Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB	
BB-	
B+	
B	High credit risk. A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	Very high credit risk. Substantial credit risk “CCC” Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. “CC” Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. “C” Ratings signal imminent default.
CC	
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.



**The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.*

Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing) Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. ‘Stable’ outlook means a rating is not likely to change. ‘Positive’ means it may be raised. ‘Negative’ means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as ‘Developing’.

Rating Watch Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

Suspension It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

Withdrawn A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults., or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

Harmonization A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

Surveillance. Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

Note. This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

<p>Entities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Broker Entity Rating b) Corporate Rating c) Financial Institution Rating d) Holding Company Rating e) Independent Power Producer Rating f) Microfinance Institution Rating g) Non-Banking Finance Companies (NBFCs) Rating 	<p>Instruments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Basel III Compliant Debt Instrument Rating b) Debt Instrument Rating c) Sukuk Rating
--	---

Disclaimer: PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

Rating Team Statements

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

2) Conflict of Interest

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term "family members" shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

Restrictions

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

Conduct of Business

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

Independence & Conflict of interest

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA's opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers' associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst's area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

Monitoring and review

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

Probability of Default

(22) PACRA's Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA's transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA's Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(F-VII)

Proprietary Information

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA's prior written consent