



The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

## Rating Report

### Telenor Microfinance Bank Limited

#### Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

#### Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
07-Nov-2018	A+	A1	Stable	Maintain	YES
27-Apr-2018	A+	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
23-Nov-2017	A+	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Apr-2017	A+	A1	Stable	Maintain	-
28-Apr-2016	A+	A1	Rating Watch	Maintain	-

#### Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

The ratings take comfort from association with a strong sponsor – Telenor Group. The bank's business profile emanates from its growing customer base and sustained relative position. Securing an ~19% share in the MFB's gross loan portfolio (GLP) and ~21% in its gross deposits, the bank continues to hold a prominent position in the MFBs market. Significant developments in branchless banking (BB) are underway, including insistent steps to enhance the BB Mobile-wallet customer base. The bank is all geared up to undergo induction of ~45% stake by Ant Financial - a Chinese Fintech affiliate company of the Alibaba Group. The transaction is expected to be completed in three tranches, wherein, first tranche would be injected by End-November-18. Net interest/markup revenue (NIMR) increased during the period but the bank's bottomline closed in red due to high marketing OPEX incurred in pursuit of expanding BB customer outreach. Core banking indicators remained sanguine while BB domain continued to generate losses. The bank's business model is presently pivoted around developing a sustainable widespread M-wallet customer platform, with regards to which substantial results have been achieved. Liquidity profile reflects a comfortable outlook. Asset quality, however, slightly decreased (~1.7% infection ratio), mainly on the backdrop of unsecured lending. Currently, the management's paramount focus lies in taking a boom in the BB segment with respect to which entry of a new equity partner is a salient feature.

The ratings are dependent upon successful strategic alliance with Ant-Financial and achievement of positive indicators in the BB domain. Meanwhile, simultaneous growth trends in the core and BB domain remain imperative.

#### Disclosure

<b>Name of Rated Entity</b>	Telenor Microfinance Bank Limited
<b>Type of Relationship</b>	Solicited
<b>Purpose of the Rating</b>	Entity Rating
<b>Applicable Criteria</b>	Methodology   Criteria   Rating Modifier(Jun-18),Methodology   Correlation Between Long-Term And Short-Term Rating Scale(Jun-18),Methodology   MFI (Jun-18)
<b>Related Research</b>	Sector Study   Microfinance Bank(Apr-18)
<b>Rating Analysts</b>	Nadeem Sheikh   nadeem.sheikh@pacra.com   +92-42-35869504

## The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

### Profile

**Structure** Telenor Microfinance Bank Limited (hereinafter referred to as "the bank") was incorporated with the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan on 1st August 2005, under section 32 of the Companies Ordinance, 1984 (now Companies Act, 2017). The Head office of the bank is located in Central Commercial Area, Karachi.

**Background** Telenor Microfinance Bank is a wholly owned subsidiary of Telenor Pakistan B.V. In 2016, Telenor Pakistan B.V acquired the bank wholly by way of acquisition of remaining ~49% from Telenor Pakistan (Private) Limited.

**Operations** The bank operates through a nationwide network of 86 branches in the low-income areas within Pakistan offering a diversified range of lending and insurance products. The bank also offers branchless banking services to its clients through its flagship product "Easy Paisa".

### Ownership

**Ownership Structure** The bank is a wholly owned subsidiary of Telenor Pakistan B.V which is a joint stock company based in Amsterdam, being a subsidiary of Telenor ASA-Norwegian multinational telecommunications company. Ant-Financial, an affiliate fintech company of the Chinese Ali Baba group, is in process of acquiring ~45% stake in the bank in three tranches at a cost of \$184.5mln, wherein, first tranche would be injected by End-November-18.

**Stability** The ownership structure of the bank is considered stable, which is expected to change, going forward, as Ant-Financial enters into the business.

**Business Acumen** Telenor ASA, the parent company of the Telenor Group is subsidiary of the Government of Norway. It is one of the world's major mobile operators headquartered in Oslo, Norway, with mobile operations spread across 13 countries. It has strong footprint in Europe and Asia. Telenor MFB, has also demonstrated to be one of the leading market players on a timeline basis, representing a strong business acumen.

**Financial Strength** Financial muscle of the sponsors is robust. Telenor ASA is listed on the Oslo stock exchange and has total assets amounting to USD~23bln at End-June'18 (End-Dec'17: USD~24bln).

### Governance

**Board Structure** The overall control of the bank vests in nine member board of directors (BOD). The board comprises of the President/CEO, Mr. Shahid Mustafa and Chairman Mr. Petter Borre Furberg, six directors and one independent director.

**Members' Profile** The board members carry extensive experience in the telecom industry and financial services.

**Board Effectiveness** Attendance of Board of Directors during the meetings was good.

**Financial Transparency** KPMG Taseer Hadi & Co. Chartered Accountants are the External Auditors of the bank.

### Management

**Organizational Structure** In line with bank's increased scale of operations, the organizational structure has evolved in order to take up the pace of expansion. Telenor MFB's operations are grouped under eleven departments with each Department Head reporting directly to the CEO and the head of internal audit reporting to the Audit Committee.

**Management Team** Mr. Shahid Mustafa – the President and CEO – carries over ~20 years of experience in banking and finance, and has been with the group for long. He formerly served as the CEO of Pakistan Microfinance Investment Company (PMIC). He is assisted by an experienced management team.

**Effectiveness** An Executive Committee is in place which comprises the CFO, CPO (Chief People's Officer), CRO (Chief Risk Officer) and the COO that report directly to the CEO. Asset liability committee is also in place.

**MIS** Detailed MIS reports are generated to support the senior management in timely and effective decision making. Daily MIS includes reports pertaining to disbursements, repayments, recoveries and deposits, separately for core and branchless banking operations.

**Risk Management Framework** Telenor Microfinance Bank has an established Risk Division Unit in place to oversee associated risks. The bank has developed and deployed a credit policy manual, which comprises guidelines for risk management framework.

**Technology Infrastructure** The bank's technological infrastructure is robust owing to increased need of advanced technology to remain competent in the branchless banking domain after the transfer of Easypaisa platform to the bank in CY17.

### Business Risk

**Industry Dynamics** Pakistan Microfinance Industry comprises of 44 microfinance providers including 11 Microfinance Banks (MFBs), 17 Microfinance Institutions (MFIs), 7 Rural Support Program (RSPs) and 9 others projects. MFBs dominate the industry with a share in lending portfolio of ~68%.

**Relative Position** Telenor Microfinance Bank holds ~19% of the total gross loan portfolio of the MFBs industry, and has ~21% share in terms of active borrowers, thus making itself a significant MFB player. With a presence of 11 players in the market, Telenor Microfinance Bank has a significant share in the total amount of deposits, standing at ~21% at End-June 18, marginal increase from CY17 (~20%).

**Revenue** During the period under review, the bank's total operating income decreased to PKR~2,518mln with a decline of ~31% on YOY basis (1HCY17: PKR~3,664 mln). The loss is mainly attributed by high marketing OPEX in branchless banking division.

**Profitability** During 1HCY18, the earning assets of the bank reported at ~72% of the total assets as compared to ~83% of the total asset base of the bank in CY17. Total earning assets recorded at PKR~40.3bln as at End-June'18 (End-Dec'17: PKR~39.0bln). Profitability dwindled as the management's principal focus lied in enhancing its digital banking outreach for which it incurred aggressive marketing OPEX. Net Income/Markup revenue (NIMR) for 1HCY18 clocked in at PKR~2,787mln (1HCY17: PKR~1,976mln), core banking indicators reflected a net gain of PKR~350mln while branchless banking's loss hiked to PKR~679mln (CY17:PKR~421mln).

**Sustainability** During the period under review the bank performance indicator has been impaired. Return on equity entered into negative zone as the bank made losses during the period. However, strong competitive position persists for the bank; as the future strategic partnership with Ant-Financial is expected to bring significant changes to the overall business profile of the bank. However, out-turn of the transaction and its impact on the bank's governance, operations, financial systems and performance is yet to roll-out.

### Financial Risk

**Credit Risk** Multi-tier credit approval process is in place that has the necessary checks and independent verification required to critically assess credit risk. Despite stringent credit control mechanism, including introduction of underwriting technique since the beginning of CY17, the asset infection ratio increased slightly during the period under review and was recorded at ~1.7% at End-June'18 as compared to ~1% at End-Dec'17.

**Market Risk** The bank's investment portfolio constitutes ~13% of bank's total assets at End-June18 (End-Dec17: ~14%). Investments solely comprised of Market Treasury Bills, which limits market risk and will provide likely hedge against interest rate volatility along with liquidity support to the bank.

**Funding** The Bank's external funding solely constitutes deposits clocking in at PKR~43,874mln at End-June'18 (End-Dec'17: PKR~36,665mln). Deposits during the period ending June-18 grew by ~20%. Branchless banking side of the bank is growing as the deposits from the segment increased by ~24% during the period under review.

**Liquidity** Liquidity profile improved during the period under review as the bank's liquid assets-to-deposits and borrowings ratio increased to ~46% at End-June'18 (End-Dec'17: ~43%).

**Capital Adequacy** During the period under review, sponsors injected a total equity of PKR~1,470mln. The bank's capital adequacy ratio (CAR) however, still dropped to ~18% at End-June'18 (End-Dec'17:~20%), mainly on the backdrop of net losses for the period and unsecured lending.

**Telenor MicroFinance Bank Limited**

BALANCE SHEET	PKR mln			
	30-Jun-18	31-Dec-17	31-Dec-16	31-Dec-15
<b>Earning Assets</b>				
Advances	29,895	24,746	15,851	12,130
Investments (Government Securities)	7,507	6,767	11,568	3,784
Deposits with Banks	2,900	4,139	2,551	1,103
Lending to Financial Institutions	-	3,368	323	347
	<b>40,302</b>	<b>39,020</b>	<b>30,293</b>	<b>17,364</b>
<b>Non Earning Assets</b>				
Non-Earning Cash	9,584	3,514	3,125	1,603
Net Non-Performing Finances	169	16	(15)	(4)
Fixed Assets & Others	5,831	4,578	2,901	2,094
	<b>15,583</b>	<b>8,108</b>	<b>6,011</b>	<b>3,694</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>55,885</b>	<b>47,128</b>	<b>36,304</b>	<b>21,058</b>
<b>Interest Bearing Liabilities</b>				
<b>Deposits</b>	<b>43,874</b>	<b>36,665</b>	<b>27,830</b>	<b>15,679</b>
Branch Banking	32,168	27,225	23,851	10,183
Branchless Banking	11,706	9,440	3,979	5,495
Borrowings	-	-	-	85
	43,874	36,665	27,830	15,764
<b>Non Interest Bearing Liabilities</b>	5,846	5,457	3,888	1,605
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>49,721</b>	<b>42,122</b>	<b>31,718</b>	<b>17,369</b>
<b>EQUITY (including revaluation surplus)</b>	6,119	4,981	4,576	3,657
<b>Deferred Grants</b>	46	25	10	31
<b>Total Liabilities &amp; Equity</b>	<b>55,885</b>	<b>47,128</b>	<b>36,304</b>	<b>21,057</b>
<b>INCOME STATEMENT</b>	<b>30-Jun-18</b>	<b>31-Dec-17</b>	<b>31-Dec-16</b>	<b>31-Dec-15</b>
Interest / Mark up Eamed	3,964	6,064	4,487	3,503
Interest / Mark up Expensed	(1,177)	(1,767)	(1,113)	(663)
<b>Net Interest / Markup revenue</b>	<b>2,787</b>	<b>4,297</b>	<b>3,373</b>	<b>2,840</b>
Direct Branchless Banking pre-tax profits	(679)	(421)	63	(9)
Other Operating Income	430	712	560	350
<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>2,538</b>	<b>4,588</b>	<b>3,997</b>	<b>3,182</b>
Other Income	-	-	-	167
Non-Interest / Non-Mark up Expensed	(2,595)	(3,629)	(2,486)	(1,987)
Pre-provision operating profit	(57)	959	1,511	1,362
Provisions	(236)	(271)	(141)	(80)
Pre-tax profit	(293)	687	1,370	1,282
Taxes	(36)	(271)	(474)	(431)
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>(329)</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>896</b>	<b>852</b>
<b>Ratio Analysis</b>	<b>30-Jun-18</b>	<b>31-Dec-17</b>	<b>31-Dec-16</b>	<b>31-Dec-15</b>
<b>Performance</b>				
ROE	-12%	9%	22%	26%
Cost-to-Total Net Revenue	101%	93%	70%	72%
Provision Expense / Pre Provision Profit	-412%	28%	9%	6%
<b>Capital Adequacy</b>				
Equity/Total Assets	11%	11%	13%	17%
Capital Adequacy Ratio as per SBP	18%	20%	31%	39%
<b>Loan Loss Coverage</b>				
Non-Performing Advances /Gross Advances	2%	1%	1%	0%
Loan Loss Provisions / Non-Performing Advances	67%	94%	116%	106%
<b>Funding &amp; Liquidity</b>				
Liquid Assets / Deposits and Borrowings	46%	43%	63%	43%
Advances / Deposits	69%	68%	57%	77%
CASA deposits / Total Customer Deposits	52%	51%	53%	71%
<b>Intermediation Efficiency</b>				
Asset Yield	20%	17%	19%	21%
Cost of Funds	6%	5%	5%	4%
Spread	14%	12%	14%	17%
<b>Outreach</b>				
Branches	86	85	74	66

## Credit Rating Scale & Definitions

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Long Term Ratings		Short Term Ratings	
<b>AAA</b>	<b>Highest credit quality.</b> Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments	<b>A1+</b>	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
<b>AA+</b> <b>AA</b> <b>AA-</b>	<b>Very high credit quality.</b> Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.	<b>A1</b>	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
<b>A+</b> <b>A</b> <b>A-</b>	<b>High credit quality.</b> Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.	<b>A2</b>	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
<b>BBB+</b> <b>BBB</b> <b>BBB-</b>	<b>Good credit quality.</b> Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.	<b>A3</b>	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
<b>BB+</b> <b>BB</b> <b>BB-</b>	<b>Moderate risk.</b> Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.	<b>B</b>	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
<b>B+</b> <b>B</b> <b>B-</b>	<b>High credit risk.</b> A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.	<b>C</b>	An inadequate capacity to ensure timely repayment.
<b>CCC</b> <b>CC</b> <b>C</b>	<b>Very high credit risk.</b> Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.		
<b>D</b>	Obligations are currently in default.		



**Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)** Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

**Rating Watch** Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or in anticipation of, a) some material identifiable event and/or b) deviation from expected trend. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating Watch may accompany Outlook of the respective opinion.

**Suspension** It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

**Withdrawn** A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) cessation of underlying entity, c) the debt instrument is redeemed, d) the rating remains suspended for six months, e) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and f) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

**Harmonization** A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

**Disclaimer:** PACRA's ratings are an assessment of the credit standing of entities/issue in Pakistan. They do not take into account the potential transfer / convertibility risk that may exist for foreign currency creditors. PACRA's opinion is not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold a security, in as much as it does not comment on the security's market price or suitability for a particular investor.

### **Rating Team Statements**

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

### **2) Conflict of Interest**

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term “family members” shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

### **Restrictions**

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

### **Conduct of Business**

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report.
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

### **Independence & Conflict of interest**

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA’s opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers’ associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst’s area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

### **Monitoring and review**

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 18-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings on semi-annual basis or as and when required by any creditor or upon the occurrence of such an event which requires to do so; | Chapter III | 18-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 18-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 18-(d)

### **Probability of Default**

(22) PACRA’s Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e, probability). PACRA’s transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA’s Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past | Chapter III | 14-(f-VII)

### **Proprietary Information**

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA’s prior written consent