



## The Pakistan Credit Rating Agency Limited

### Rating Report

#### Kashf Foundation

##### Report Contents

1. Rating Analysis
2. Financial Information
3. Rating Scale
4. Regulatory and Supplementary Disclosure

##### Rating History

Dissemination Date	Long Term Rating	Short Term Rating	Outlook	Action	Rating Watch
23-Feb-2024	A	A1	Stable	Upgrade	-
23-Sep-2023	A-	A2	Positive	Maintain	-
24-Sep-2022	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
25-Sep-2021	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	Yes
25-Sep-2020	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	Yes
27-Sep-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
19-Jun-2019	A-	A2	Stable	Maintain	-
24-Dec-2018	A-	A2	Stable	Initial	-

##### Rating Rationale and Key Rating Drivers

The assigned rating emanates from the prominent profile of the Kashf Foundation (herein referred to as “The Foundation” or “the MFI”) in the Microfinance sector of Pakistan (herein referred to as “MFIs”). The Kashf Foundation operates as a not-for-profit organization. The prime focus of the Foundation is enhancing the role of women by improving their economic status and building their entrepreneurship skills through access to business loans. The portfolio coverage in terms of the gross loan portfolio (GLP) presents Kashf Foundation as a market leader in the dedicated non-depository microfinance segment. The Foundation has expanded its footprint in the Punjab region to induce growth and manage NPLs (non-performing Loans) optimally. The MFI product slate is divided into nine categories and covers multiple industry segments. The Kashf Karobar Karza is their prime product followed by Kashf Maweshi Karza, Kashf Easy Loan and Kashf Murabaha. The assigned rating takes comfort from the consistent growth in the loan portfolio over the last three years while sustaining PAR (Portfolio at Risk) at 0.5% and generating sufficient cashflows to augment their disbursements level. The GLP of the Foundation has shown an impressive growth of 31.0% YoY basis and stood at PKR 27bln as of FY23. The Kashf Foundation managed to induce growth in its lending portfolio while remaining cautious about infection ratio. The Foundation's prime indicators have shown consistency in their growth trend line. The liquidity profile of the Foundation remains one of the finest in the industry. The Board of the MFI is more of an advisory nature and all members, who, in their own right, are reputable and well-educated individuals. The board is actively involved in making the strategic choices and setting the direction of the company and the board ensure to follow the best practices of corporate governance. The induction process reflects the alignment of the incumbent members to the institution itself, a self-propelling drive to contribute. The quality of board discussions is evidence of this assertion. The MFI has a stable and experienced senior management team which is supported by clear reporting lines as per a formalized organogram and a satisfactory monitoring process. The integration with the head office to assess the real-time status of recoveries and disbursements coupled with technological advancement has escalated the control environment. Despite the hyperinflationary environment and other microeconomic challenges specifically the consistent surge in KIBOR which ultimately elevated the cost of funds for MFIs. The industry's loan portfolio requires prudent management mainly on the back of the high level of interest rates. The restriction on the mobilization of deposits has demarcated and supplemented the risk absorption capacity while triggering the funding constraints.

The rating upgrade reflects the transition of an earlier assigned positive outlook to the higher ratings. The key drivers are consistent profitability over the years, a well-defined liquidity framework and alignment of the Foundation's performance with their earlier shared financial projections. Going forward, the adherence to robust capital adequacy and conversion frequency of branches and first-time loan disbursement to algorithm-based risk tagging remains vital for ratings.

##### Disclosure

<b>Name of Rated Entity</b>	Kashf Foundation
<b>Type of Relationship</b>	Solicited
<b>Purpose of the Rating</b>	Entity Rating
<b>Applicable Criteria</b>	Methodology   Correlation Between Long-term & Short-term Rating Scales(Jul-23),Methodology   Rating Modifiers(Apr-23),Methodology   Microfinance Institution Rating(Oct-23)
<b>Related Research</b>	Sector Study   Microfinance(Sep-23)
<b>Rating Analysts</b>	Muhammad Harris Ghaffar   harris.ghaffar@pacra.com   +92-42-35869504

## Profile

**Structure** Kashf Foundation is the first Microfinance Institution of the country. It is licensed by the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) under the Non-Banking Finance Companies Rules, 2003

**Background** Kashf was established in 1996 and began operations as a Grameen replicator. It was incorporated with the SECP in 2007 as a public company limited by guarantee under Section 42 of the Companies Ordinance, 1984 (now Companies Act, 2017).

**Operations** Kashf operates at a national level with a network of over 382 branches in 62 districts spread across all provinces of Pakistan. The Foundation extends micro and small loans to underprivileged communities with a maturity of less than or equal to one year. Most of the Foundation's portfolio is concentrated in urban areas of Punjab. The main product of the Foundation is the "Kashf Karobar Karza" loan which is provided to boost entrepreneurship in the country. Almost 100% of the Foundation's clientele is female

## Ownership

**Ownership Structure** The Foundation's ultimate authority resides in a committee of 10 members. each of whom has deposited a certain amount of guarantee in the Foundation with the stipulations of the Companies Act, 2017.

**Stability** Kashf has a proper succession plan in place which is expected to remain unchanged, going forward.

**Business Acumen** Members of the Foundation are experienced professionals and have suitable skills to direct the Foundation in achieving its objectives.

**Financial Strength** The probability of the Foundation getting financial support from members is low since the Foundation is registered as a not-for-profit organization under section 42 of the Companies Ordinance 1984 (now Companies Act, 2017).

## Governance

**Board Structure** Kashf has a ten-member board of directors (BODs). Dr. Hafiz Ahmed Pasha is the chairman of the board.

**Members' Profile** The board members have extensive experience in the sector. The Chairman - Dr. Hafiz Ahmed Pasha is the Professor Emeritus of the Beaconhouse National University, Lahore, and a distinguished economist

**Board Effectiveness** There are six sub-committees to assist the board, namely (i) Audit Committee, (ii) Credit, Program & Finance Committee (iii) Human Resource Committee (iv) Investment Committee, (v) Nomination Committee, and vi) Risk Management Committee. Attendance during the meetings was good and the minutes were properly documented.

**Transparency** A.F Ferguson & Co., Chartered Accountants are the External Auditors of the foundation. They expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements for the year Ended June'23. The internal Audit Department of the foundation reports directly to the Audit Committee. The compliance department is also in place which conducts regular inspections of all relevant departments.

## Management

**Organizational Structure** Kashf operations are grouped under eleven departments. Functions are distributed among the head office and branches. Core lending activities are carried out at the branch level.

**Management Team** The Foundation has a mix of diverse experience and skilled management. Ms. Roshaneh Zafar, the CEO, is one of the founding members of the Foundation has experience of over two decades. She is a renowned philanthropist and is assisted by an experienced management team.

**Effectiveness** The Foundation has a systematic decision-making process. There are seven-member management committees in place. Each department head ensures smooth operations of their department and reports to the Chief Executive Officer on pertinent matters

**MIS** Integration of departments enhances management decision-making, with the CIB report system linked to Tasdeeq and Data Check Limited at Kashf Foundation.

**Risk Management Framework** A proper risk management policy to manage operational and credit risk is in place. A loan approval process is decentralized at the branch level. Recovery of all loans is being done through different Branchless Banking Agents.

**Technology Infrastructure** Kashf is continuously investing in its technological infrastructure to increase automation and efficiency in the departments which is a need of time in the microfinance industry. The increased automation would result in expediting the loan recovery process, providing good surveillance, and helping to keep its infection ratio in check

## Business Risk

**Industry Dynamics** During 1QCY23, the microfinance industry which includes MFIs, MFBs & RSP in Pakistan has shown a growth of 3.7% from 4QCY22 to 1QCY23 in terms of Gross Loan Portfolio (GLP). The GLP stood at PKR 509.6bln during 1QCY23 (4QCY22: PKR 491.3bln). The GLP portfolio of MFI reached PKR 88.528bln with an active borrower base of 2.3mln as of 1QCY23. Currently, in Pakistan, there are 24 dedicated Microfinance institutions primarily operating which provide specialized microfinance services. The portfolio at risk (PAR) > 30 days of MFI has shown an improvement and reduced to 3.0% (4QCY22: 2.0%) mainly on the back of the recoveries in the flood-impacted portfolios. The hyperinflationary environment has also impacted the MFI sector which is evident by a PKR 1.7bln surge in disbursements (1QCY23: PKR 31.8bln; 4QCY22: PKR 30.1bln) and PKR 3,282 hike in average loan size (1QCY23: PKR 59,628, 4QCY22: PKR 56,346).

**Relative Position** Considering the market share of ~5% in terms of GLP of the whole industry, the foundation is considered as a relatively mid-tier player in the Microfinance sector and one of the largest Microfinance Institutions. It is one of the oldest players in the MFI industry which has enabled it to develop a strong relationship with the borrowers

**Revenue** Despite the challenging environment, Kashf was enabled to earn an interest income of PKR 10,196mln in FY23 with an incline of PKR 3,543mln YoY basis (FY22: PKR 6,653mln). This incline is mainly due to the significant increase in return on loans (FY23 PKR 9,117mln, FY22 PKR 6,653mln) Return on investment & bank deposits, clocked at PKR 9,056mln (FY22: PKR 646mln) whereas mark-up on micro-credit loans constitutes 85.5% of total interest income. In Sep'23, the top line of the foundation stood at PKR 3,157mln.

**Profitability** In 2023, the profitability of the company significantly increased to PKR 2,594mln (FY22: PKR 1,935mln), The reason for the increase in profitability is mainly due to a significant increase in the company's markup income. In Sep'23, the profitability of the foundation clocked at PKR 575mln.

**Sustainability** Kashf's key strategy is to expand its market presence and promote financial inclusion in the nation by diversifying products and establishing a positive reputation.

## Financial Risk

**Credit Risk** Kashf is one of the largest lenders in MFIs and has designed a decentralized loan approval and disbursement process at the branch level. To mitigate the asset risk the foundation has developed a strong control & recovery mechanism. Despite generally decelerated loan demand, Kashf maintained GLP at PKR 27,177mln as at end-Jun23 (Jun'22: PKR 20,684mln). The asset quality observed a witnessed decline in NPLs and clocked at PKR 140mln during FY23 ( FY22: PKR 313mln). At Sep'23, the foundation's GLP clocked at PKR 26,154mln whereas the NPL stood at PKR 161mln.

**Market Risk** The Foundation's investment portfolio constitutes 13.2% of the total earning assets (FY21: 5.4%). The financial assets used for hedging in overall investments stood at 31.2% (FY21: 7.5%).

**Funding** Kashf has mobilized almost all funds from both local and foreign borrowers. The total debt of the foundation as of FY22 increased by 42% to PKR 33,415mln (FY22: PKR 23,510mln).

**Cashflows & Coverages** During FY23, with an upward movement in Kashf's liquidity position. The Foundation's liquid assets to borrowings ratio slightly declined to 43% as compared to 44% in FY22 on account of growth in borrowings to PKR 33,415mln (FY21: 23,510mln)

**Capital Adequacy** SECP has no minimum requirement for MFIs in terms of CAR ratio. Equity of the foundation stood at PKR 10,343mln as of FY23 (FY22: PKR 7,231mln) which is well above the required benchmark of Rs. 50 million as required by SECP. At Sep'23, the foundation's equity clocked at PKR 10,652mln.



PKR mln

Kashf Foundation  
Listed Public Limited

Sep-23 Jun-23 Jun-22 Jun-21  
3M 12M 12M 12M

## A BALANCE SHEET

1 Total Finances - net	26,154	27,177	20,684	16,379
2 Investments	4,218	6,497	4,053	1,369
3 Other Earning Assets	10,033	7,494	6,270	5,853
4 Non-Earning Assets	4,367	4,029	1,301	1,326
5 Non-Performing Finances-net	(21)	(26)	(20)	88
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>44,752</b>	<b>45,171</b>	<b>32,287</b>	<b>25,016</b>
6 Deposits	-	-	-	-
7 Borrowings	31,420	32,790	23,510	18,476
8 Other Liabilities (Non-Interest Bearing)	2,680	2,038	1,360	857
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>34,100</b>	<b>34,828</b>	<b>24,871</b>	<b>19,333</b>
<b>Equity</b>	<b>10,652</b>	<b>10,343</b>	<b>7,231</b>	<b>5,296</b>

## B INCOME STATEMENT

1 Mark Up Earned	3,157	10,196	6,653	5,001
2 Mark Up Expensed	(1,582)	(4,594)	(2,664)	(2,022)
3 Non Mark Up Income	30	683	1,222	799
<b>Total Income</b>	<b>1,605</b>	<b>6,284</b>	<b>5,212</b>	<b>3,778</b>
4 Non-Mark Up Expenses	(1,014)	(3,570)	(3,090)	(2,522)
5 Provisions/Write offs/Reversals	(15)	(120)	(186)	(487)
<b>Pre-Tax Profit</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>2,594</b>	<b>1,935</b>	<b>769</b>
6 Taxes	-	-	-	-
<b>Profit After Tax</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>2,594</b>	<b>1,935</b>	<b>769</b>

## C RATIO ANALYSIS

### 1 Performance

Portfolio Yield	42.4%	38.5%	35.4%	32.4%
Minimum Lending Rate	39.5%	35.0%	31.6%	32.6%
Operational Self Sufficiency (OSS)	122.0%	123.2%	122.9%	112.0%
Return on Equity	21.9%	29.2%	29.5%	15.0%
Cost per Borrower Ratio	5,580.4	8,846.2	10,836.4	5,049.2

### 2 Capital Adequacy

Net NPL/Equity	-0.2%	-0.3%	-0.3%	1.6%
Equity / Total Assets (D+E+F)	23.8%	22.9%	22.4%	21.2%
Tier I Capital / Risk Weighted Assets	34.3%	33.0%	N/A	N/A
Capital Adequacy Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Capital Formation Rate [(Profit After Tax - Cash Dividend) / Equity]	22.2%	35.9%	36.5%	17.0%

### 3 Funding & Liquidity

Liquid Assets as a % of Deposits & Short term Borrowings	104.6%	72.6%	59.9%	82.9%
Demand Deposit Coverage Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Liquid Assets/Top 20 Depositors	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Funding Diversification (Deposits/(Deposits+Borrowings+Grants))	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Net Advances to Deposits Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 4 Credit Risk

Top 20 Advances / Advances	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
PAR 30 Ratio	0.6%	0.5%	1.5%	3.6%
Write Off Ratio	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
True Infection Ratio	0.6%	0.5%	1.5%	3.6%
Risk Coverage Ratio (PAR 30)	113.4%	118.7%	106.3%	85.4%

### Credit Rating

Credit rating reflects forward-looking opinion on credit worthiness of underlying entity or instrument; more specifically it covers relative ability to honor financial obligations. The primary factor being captured on the rating scale is relative likelihood of default.

Scale	Long-term Rating Definition
AAA	<b>Highest credit quality.</b> Lowest expectation of credit risk. Indicate exceptionally strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments
AA+	
AA	<b>Very high credit quality.</b> Very low expectation of credit risk. Indicate very strong capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.
AA-	
A+	
A	<b>High credit quality.</b> Low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be vulnerable to changes in circumstances or in economic conditions.
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	<b>Good credit quality.</b> Currently a low expectation of credit risk. The capacity for timely payment of financial commitments is considered adequate, but adverse changes in circumstances and in economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.
BBB-	
BB+	
BB	<b>Moderate risk.</b> Possibility of credit risk developing. There is a possibility of credit risk developing, particularly as a result of adverse economic or business changes over time; however, business or financial alternatives may be available to allow financial commitments to be met.
BB-	
B+	
B	<b>High credit risk.</b> A limited margin of safety remains against credit risk. Financial commitments are currently being met; however, capacity for continued payment is contingent upon a sustained, favorable business and economic environment.
B-	
CCC	
CC	<b>Very high credit risk.</b> Substantial credit risk "CCC" Default is a real possibility. Capacity for meeting financial commitments is solely reliant upon sustained, favorable business or economic developments. "CC" Rating indicates that default of some kind appears probable. "C" Ratings signal imminent default.
C	
D	Obligations are currently in default.

Scale	Short-term Rating Definition
A1+	The highest capacity for timely repayment.
A1	A strong capacity for timely repayment.
A2	A satisfactory capacity for timely repayment. This may be susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A3	An adequate capacity for timely repayment. Such capacity is susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions.
A4	The capacity for timely repayment is more susceptible to adverse changes in business, economic, or financial conditions. Liquidity may not be sufficient.

  

Long-term Rating	Short-term Rating
AAA	A1+
AA+	A1
AA	A2
AA-	A3
A+	A4
A	
A-	
BBB+	
BBB	
BBB-	
BB+	
BB	
BB-	
B+	
B	
B-	
CCC	
CC	
C	

\*The correlation shown is indicative and, in certain cases, may not hold.

**Outlook (Stable, Positive, Negative, Developing)** Indicates the potential and direction of a rating over the intermediate term in response to trends in economic and/or fundamental business/financial conditions. It is not necessarily a precursor to a rating change. 'Stable' outlook means a rating is not likely to change. 'Positive' means it may be raised. 'Negative' means it may be lowered. Where the trends have conflicting elements, the outlook may be described as 'Developing'.

**Rating Watch** Alerts to the possibility of a rating change subsequent to, or, in anticipation of some material identifiable event with indeterminable rating implications. But it does not mean that a rating change is inevitable. A watch should be resolved within foreseeable future, but may continue if underlying circumstances are not settled. Rating watch may accompany rating outlook of the respective opinion.

**Suspension** It is not possible to update an opinion due to lack of requisite information. Opinion should be resumed in foreseeable future. However, if this does not happen within six (6) months, the rating should be considered withdrawn.

**Withdrawn** A rating is withdrawn on a) termination of rating mandate, b) the debt instrument is redeemed, c) the rating remains suspended for six months, d) the entity/issuer defaults, or/and e) PACRA finds it impractical to surveil the opinion due to lack of requisite information.

**Harmonization** A change in rating due to revision in applicable methodology or underlying scale.

**Surveillance.** Surveillance on a publicly disseminated rating opinion is carried out on an ongoing basis till it is formally suspended or withdrawn. A comprehensive surveillance of rating opinion is carried out at least once every six months. However, a rating opinion may be reviewed in the intervening period if it is necessitated by any material happening.

**Note.** This scale is applicable to the following methodology(s):

a) Broker Entity Rating	e) Holding Company Rating
b) Corporate Rating	f) Independent Power Producer Rating
c) Debt Instrument Rating	g) Microfinance Institution Rating
d) Financial Institution Rating	h) Non-Banking Finance Companies Rating

**Disclaimer:** PACRA has used due care in preparation of this document. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA shall owe no liability whatsoever to any loss or damage caused by or resulting from any error in such information. Contents of PACRA documents may be used, with due care and in the right context, with credit to PACRA. Our reports and ratings constitute opinions, not recommendations to buy or to sell.

### **Rating Team Statements**

(1) Rating is just an opinion about the creditworthiness of the entity and does not constitute recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security of the entity rated or to buy, hold or sell the security rated, as the case may be | Chapter III; 14-3-(x)

### **2) Conflict of Interest**

- i. The Rating Team or any of their family members have no interest in this rating | Chapter III; 12-2-(j)
- ii. PACRA, the analysts involved in the rating process and members of its rating committee, and their family members, do not have any conflict of interest relating to the rating done by them | Chapter III; 12-2-(e) & (k)
- iii. The analyst is not a substantial shareholder of the customer being rated by PACRA [Annexure F; d-(ii)] Explanation: for the purpose of above clause, the term “family members” shall include only those family members who are dependent on the analyst and members of the rating committee

### **Restrictions**

- (3) No director, officer or employee of PACRA communicates the information, acquired by him for use for rating purposes, to any other person except where required under law to do so. | Chapter III; 10-(5)
- (4) PACRA does not disclose or discuss with outside parties or make improper use of the non-public information which has come to its knowledge during business relationship with the customer | Chapter III; 10-7-(d)
- (5) PACRA does not make proposals or recommendations regarding the activities of rated entities that could impact a credit rating of entity subject to rating | Chapter III; 10-7-(k)

### **Conduct of Business**

- (6) PACRA fulfills its obligations in a fair, efficient, transparent and ethical manner and renders high standards of services in performing its functions and obligations; | Chapter III; 11-A-(a)
- (7) PACRA uses due care in preparation of this Rating Report. Our information has been obtained from sources we consider to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness is not guaranteed. PACRA does not, in every instance, independently verifies or validates information received in the rating process or in preparing this Rating Report | Clause 11-(A)(p).
- (8) PACRA prohibits its employees and analysts from soliciting money, gifts or favors from anyone with whom PACRA conducts business | Chapter III; 11-A-(q)
- (9) PACRA ensures before commencement of the rating process that an analyst or employee has not had a recent employment or other significant business or personal relationship with the rated entity that may cause or may be perceived as causing a conflict of interest; | Chapter III; 11-A-(r)
- (10) PACRA maintains principal of integrity in seeking rating business | Chapter III; 11-A-(u)
- (11) PACRA promptly investigates, in the event of a misconduct or a breach of the policies, procedures and controls, and takes appropriate steps to rectify any weaknesses to prevent any recurrence along with suitable punitive action against the responsible employee(s) | Chapter III; 11-B-(m)

### **Independence & Conflict of interest**

- (12) PACRA receives compensation from the entity being rated or any third party for the rating services it offers. The receipt of this compensation has no influence on PACRA’s opinions or other analytical processes. In all instances, PACRA is committed to preserving the objectivity, integrity and independence of its ratings. Our relationship is governed by two distinct mandates i) rating mandate - signed with the entity being rated or issuer of the debt instrument, and fee mandate - signed with the payer, which can be different from the entity
- (13) PACRA does not provide consultancy/advisory services or other services to any of its customers or to any of its customers’ associated companies and associated undertakings that is being rated or has been rated by it during the preceding three years unless it has adequate mechanism in place ensuring that provision of such services does not lead to a conflict of interest situation with its rating activities; | Chapter III; 12-2-(d)
- (14) PACRA discloses that no shareholder directly or indirectly holding 10% or more of the share capital of PACRA also holds directly or indirectly 10% or more of the share capital of the entity which is subject to rating or the entity which issued the instrument subject to rating by PACRA; | Reference Chapter III; 12-2-(f)
- (15) PACRA ensures that the rating assigned to an entity or instrument is not be affected by the existence of a business relationship between PACRA and the entity or any other party, or the non-existence of such a relationship | Chapter III; 12-2-(i)
- (16) PACRA ensures that the analysts or any of their family members shall not buy or sell or engage in any transaction in any security which falls in the analyst’s area of primary analytical responsibility. This clause shall, however, not be applicable on investment in securities through collective investment schemes. | Chapter III; 12-2-(l)
- (17) PACRA has established policies and procedure governing investments and trading in securities by its employees and for monitoring the same to prevent insider trading, market manipulation or any other market abuse | Chapter III; 11-B-(g)

### **Monitoring and review**

- (18) PACRA monitors all the outstanding ratings continuously and any potential change therein due to any event associated with the issuer, the security arrangement, the industry etc., is disseminated to the market, immediately and in effective manner, after appropriate consultation with the entity/issuer; | Chapter III | 17-(a)
- (19) PACRA reviews all the outstanding ratings periodically, on annual basis; Provided that public dissemination of annual review and, in an instance of change in rating will be made; | Chapter III | 17-(b)
- (20) PACRA initiates immediate review of the outstanding rating upon becoming aware of any information that may reasonably be expected to result in downgrading of the rating; | Chapter III | 17-(c)
- (21) PACRA engages with the issuer and the debt securities trustee, to remain updated on all information pertaining to the rating of the entity/instrument; | Chapter III | 17-(d)

### **Probability of Default**

(22) PACRA’s Rating Scale reflects the expectation of credit risk. The highest rating has the lowest relative likelihood of default (i.e., probability). PACRA’s transition studies capture the historical performance behavior of a specific rating notch. Transition behavior of the assigned rating can be obtained from PACRA’s Transition Study available at our website. (www.pacra.com). However, actual transition of rating may not follow the pattern observed in the past; | Chapter III | 14-3(f)(vii)

### **Proprietary Information**

(23) All information contained herein is considered proprietary by PACRA. Hence, none of the information in this document can be copied or, otherwise reproduced, stored or disseminated in whole or in part in any form or by any means whatsoever by any person without PACRA’s prior written consent